PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI
Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respon	d to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number
Application Number	r

	Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person	ns are required to respond to a co	liection of information unless it displays a valid OWB control number.
FA	oraș de la companie d	Application Number	10/826,885
	TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	April 16, 2004
FORM		First Named Inventor	John J. Waycuilis
		Art Unit	1764
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Examiner Name	Tam M. Nguyen
	Total Number of Pages in This Submission 4	Attorney Docket Number	200306 USA

			EN	CLOSURES (Check a	ali that apply	)			
	Fee Trans	smittal Form		Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board		
	LJ F	ee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Ш	of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(s)  Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement  Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on CD Remarks Amendment is in the form of a "Request for Rec C.F.R. § 1.111"			Return receipt postcard				
		SIGNA	TURE	OF APPLICANT, ATT	ORNEY, O	R AG	ENT		
Firm Name  Law Office of Jack E Ebel									
Signati	ure (	Allela Tu	W	<b>,</b>					
Printed name Jack E. Ebel									
Date January 31, 2006				Reg. No.	28,148				
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:  Signature									

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Jack E. Ebel

Typed or printed name

Date

January 31, 2006



John J. Waycuilis Applicant:

Serial No.: 10/826,885

Filed:

04/16/2004

For:

3

PROCESS FOR CONVERTING

**GASEOUS ALKANES TO** LIQUID HYDROCARBONS **Examiner:** 

Tam M. Nguyen

**Group Art Unit:** 

1764

**Confirmation No.:** 

1419

Atty. Docket No.:

200306 USA

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT this correspondence is being deposited with the July S. Postal Service with sufficient postage via first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:

Signature:

Date:

Dear Sir:

January 31, 2006

## REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION WITHOUT AMENDMENT **UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.111**

In the matter of the captioned application and in response to the first Office Action dated October 31, 2005, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-20 which are deemed patentable over the rejection of record for the reasons hereinafter advanced.

Claims 1-20 stand provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting ("ODP rejection") as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending U.S. patent application no. 11/101,886. The Examiner commented that "[t]his is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented."

U.S. patent application serial no. 11/101,886 which was filed on April 8, 2005 is a continuation-in-part of the instant U.S. patent application serial no. 10/826,885 which was filed on April 16, 2004. The claims of the instant parent application are rejected Atty. Docket No.: 200306 USA

}

under the ODP rejection over the claims of the later filed, copending, continuation-inpart application.

M.P.E.P. §804 I.B. "Instances Where Double Patenting Issue can be Raised between Copending Applications-Provisional Rejections" states that "[t]he "provisional" double patenting rejection should continue to be made by the examiner in each application as long as there are conflicting claims in more than one application unless that "provisional" double patenting rejection is the only rejection remaining in at least one of the applications." Further, M.P.E.P. §804 I.B.1. "Nonstatutory Double Patenting Rejections" states that "If a "provisional" nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting (ODP) rejection is the only rejection remaining in the earlier filed of the two pending applications, while the later-filed application is rejectable on other grounds, the examiner should withdraw that rejection and permit the earlier-filed application to issue as a patent without a terminal disclaimer. ...If "provisional" ODP rejections in two applications are the only rejections remaining in those applications, the examiner should withdraw the ODP rejection in the earlier filed application thereby permitting that application to issue without need of a terminal disclaimer. [emphasis added]"

As the instant application is the "earlier filed application" and as the ODP rejection is the only rejection of record, the need for filing a terminal disclaimer in the instant application is obviated and the ODP rejection of claims 1-20 as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending U.S. patent application no. 11/101,886 should be withdrawn.

Further, Applicant wishes to bring to the Examiner's attention U.S. patent application serial no. 11/254,438 which was filed on October 19, 2005 and is entitled "Process for Converting Gaseous Alkanes to Olefins and Liquid Hydrocarbons". This application is a continuation-in-part U.S. patent application serial no. 11/101,886 which was cited in the first Office Action. U.S. patent application serial no. 11/254,438 does not constitute prior art with respect to the captioned application.

Atty. Docket No.: 200306 USA

In view of the foregoing, Applicant requests reconsideration and allowance of claims 1-20.

Respectfully Submitted,

Attorney for Applicant Reg. No. 28,148

(303) 980-1805

Facsimile: (303) 980-1808